

# Chase Joyner

## 802 Homework 3

March 6, 2017

### Problem 1

Show that the matrix  $\mathbf{A}$  given by

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X} & \mathbf{T}^T \\ \mathbf{T} & \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix}$$

is a non-singular matrix, where  $\mathbf{X}$  is the design matrix for a non-full rank linear model and  $\mathbf{T}$  is a corresponding matrix such that  $\mathbf{T}\boldsymbol{\beta} = \mathbf{0}$  is a side condition.

**Solution:** Assume that  $\mathbf{X} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times p}$  with  $\text{rank}(\mathbf{X}) = k < p \leq n$ . Then, since  $\mathbf{T}\boldsymbol{\beta} = \mathbf{0}$  is a side condition, by definition we have  $\text{rank}(\mathbf{T}) = p - k$  the following two properties

- 1)  $\text{rank} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{X} \\ \mathbf{T} \end{pmatrix} = p$
- 2)  $\text{rank} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{X} \\ \mathbf{T} \end{pmatrix} = \text{rank}(\mathbf{X}) + \text{rank}(\mathbf{T})$

which implies that the rows of  $\mathbf{T}$  and  $\mathbf{X}$  are linearly independent. Therefore, the columns of  $\mathbf{T}^T$  and  $\mathbf{X}^T$  are linearly independent. Since  $C(\mathbf{X}^T) = C(\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})$ , the columns of  $\mathbf{T}^T$  and  $\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X}$  are linearly independent. Thus,  $\mathbf{A}$  is invertible.

### Problem 2

Define  $\mathbf{H} := \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X} + \mathbf{T}^T \mathbf{T}$ . Show that the inverse of  $\mathbf{A}$  in Problem 1 is given by

$$\mathbf{A}^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{H}^{-1} \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X} \mathbf{H}^{-1} & \mathbf{H}^{-1} \mathbf{T}^T \\ \mathbf{T} \mathbf{H}^{-1} & \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix}.$$

**Solution:** Was out sick late last week, couldn't seek help on this one =(.

### Problem 3

In the non-full rank linear model  $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta} + \boldsymbol{\epsilon}$ ,  $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \sim N(\mathbf{0}, \sigma^2 \mathbf{I})$ , let  $\mathbf{C} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times p}$  be a full-row-rank matrix such that  $\mathbf{C}\boldsymbol{\beta}$  is estimable. Define  $SSH = (\mathbf{C}\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}})^T (\mathbf{C}\mathbf{G}\mathbf{C}^T)^{-1} (\mathbf{C}\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}})$  and  $SSE = \mathbf{y}^T (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{X}\mathbf{G}\mathbf{X}^T) \mathbf{y}$ , where  $\mathbf{G}$  is a generalized inverse of  $\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X}$ . Show that  $SSH$  and  $SSE$  are (statistically) independent.

**Solution:** First notice that we can express  $\hat{\beta}$  as

$$\hat{\beta} = \mathbf{G}\mathbf{X}^T\mathbf{y}.$$

Therefore, we can rewrite  $SSH$  in the following way:

$$SSH = (\mathbf{C}\hat{\beta})^T (\mathbf{C}\mathbf{G}\mathbf{C}^T)^{-1} (\mathbf{C}\hat{\beta}) = \mathbf{y}^T \mathbf{X}\mathbf{G}^T \mathbf{C}^T (\mathbf{C}\mathbf{G}\mathbf{C}^T)^{-1} \mathbf{C}\mathbf{G}\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{y} \equiv \mathbf{y}^T \mathbf{A}\mathbf{y}$$

where  $\mathbf{A}$  is the matrix given by

$$\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{X}\mathbf{G}^T \mathbf{C}^T (\mathbf{C}\mathbf{G}\mathbf{C}^T)^{-1} \mathbf{C}\mathbf{G}\mathbf{X}^T.$$

Also, denote  $\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{I} - \mathbf{X}\mathbf{G}\mathbf{X}^T$  and so  $SSE = \mathbf{y}^T \mathbf{B}\mathbf{y}$ . To show that  $SSH$  and  $SSE$  are independent, it suffices to show that  $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{0}$  by a result of quadratic forms of normal random variables. Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{A}\mathbf{B} &= \mathbf{X}\mathbf{G}^T \mathbf{C}^T (\mathbf{C}\mathbf{G}\mathbf{C}^T)^{-1} \mathbf{C}\mathbf{G}\mathbf{X}^T (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{X}\mathbf{G}\mathbf{X}^T) = \mathbf{A} - \mathbf{X}\mathbf{G}^T \mathbf{C}^T (\mathbf{C}\mathbf{G}\mathbf{C}^T)^{-1} \mathbf{C}\mathbf{G}\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X}\mathbf{G}\mathbf{X}^T \\ &= \mathbf{A} - \mathbf{X}\mathbf{G}^T \mathbf{C}^T (\mathbf{C}\mathbf{G}\mathbf{C}^T)^{-1} \mathbf{C} (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X} (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^T \\ &= \mathbf{A} - \mathbf{X}\mathbf{G}^T \mathbf{C}^T (\mathbf{C}\mathbf{G}\mathbf{C}^T)^{-1} \mathbf{C} (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^T \\ &= \mathbf{A} - \mathbf{X}\mathbf{G}^T \mathbf{C}^T (\mathbf{C}\mathbf{G}\mathbf{C}^T)^{-1} \mathbf{C}\mathbf{G}\mathbf{X}^T = \mathbf{A} - \mathbf{A} = \mathbf{0}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $SSH$  and  $SSE$  are independent.

## Problem 4

For the non-full rank version of the Gauss-Markov model

$$\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{X}\beta + \epsilon,$$

derive the  $F$ -test for testing  $H_0: \mathbf{C}\beta = \mathbf{t}$ , where  $\mathbf{C}$  is a  $q \times p$  matrix of rank  $q$ ,  $\mathbf{t}$  is a specified vector of constants, and the vector of parametric functions  $\mathbf{C}\beta$  is estimable.

**Solution:** Consider the equivalent test  $H_0: \mathbf{C}\beta - \mathbf{t} = \mathbf{0}$  and now

$$SSH = (\mathbf{C}\hat{\beta} - \mathbf{t})^T (\mathbf{C}\mathbf{G}\mathbf{C}^T)^{-1} (\mathbf{C}\hat{\beta} - \mathbf{t}),$$

where  $\mathbf{G}$  is a generalized inverse of  $\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X}$  as before. Notice that

$$\mathbf{C}\hat{\beta} - \mathbf{t} = \mathbf{C}\mathbf{G}\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{y} - \mathbf{t} \sim N(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma})$$

where  $\boldsymbol{\mu} = \mathbf{C}\beta - \mathbf{t}$  and  $\boldsymbol{\Sigma} = \sigma^2 \mathbf{C}\mathbf{G}\mathbf{C}^T$ . Therefore, it follows that

$$\frac{SSH}{q} \sim \chi_q^2(\lambda)$$

where the noncentrality parameter  $\lambda$  is given by

$$\lambda = (\mathbf{C}\beta - \mathbf{t})^T (\mathbf{C}\mathbf{G}\mathbf{C}^T)^{-1} (\mathbf{C}\beta - \mathbf{t})$$

and is zero under the null hypothesis. Recall  $SSE$  and  $\hat{\beta}$  are independent. Therefore, since this  $SSH$  is just a function of  $\hat{\beta}$ , it is independent of  $SSE$ . Also recalling that

$$\frac{SSE}{n - k - 1} \sim \chi_{n-k-1}^2$$

it now follows that we can test the hypothesis using the  $F$  statistic

$$F = \frac{SSH/q}{SSE/(n - k - 1)} \sim F_{q, n-k-1}.$$

## Problem 5

Consider the effects version of the one-way layout model given by

$$y_{ij} = \mu + \alpha_i + \epsilon_{ij}, \quad j = 1, \dots, n_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, a,$$

with  $\sum_{i=1}^a n_i > a$ . Explain which of the following linear parametric functions are estimable.

- (a)  $\mu + \alpha_1$
- (b)  $\mu$
- (c)  $\sum_{i=2}^a \alpha_i$
- (d)  $\alpha_2 - \alpha_a$

**Solution:** First, we should notice that the design matrix here can be written as

$$\mathbf{X} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{x}_1 \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{x}_a \end{pmatrix}$$

where  $\mathbf{x}_i$  is a row vector of all zeros, except for a 1 in the first index (for  $\mu$ ) and also index  $i + 1$  (for  $\alpha_i$ ).

- (a) is estimable since  $\lambda = (1, 1, 0, \dots, 0)^T \in C(\mathbf{X}^T)$ , in fact  $\lambda = \mathbf{x}_1^T$ .
- (b) is not estimable since  $\lambda = (1, 0, \dots, 0)^T \notin C(\mathbf{X}^T)$ . To see this, try taking any linear combination of the rows of  $\mathbf{X}$  and you will always have a 1 remaining after the first index of the resulting vector.
- (c) is not estimable since  $\lambda = (0, 0, 1, \dots, 1)^T \notin C(\mathbf{X}^T)$ . To see this, try taking any linear combination of the rows of  $\mathbf{X}$ , but we can only obtain a vector like  $(a, 0, 1, \dots, 1)^T$ , not  $\lambda$ .
- (d) is estimable since it is a contrast.